XPS Insulation Extracted After Field Exposure Confirms High Water Absorption & Diminished R-Value

A test program conducted in August 2008 evaluated the field performance of expanded (EPS) and extruded (XPS) polystyrene foam insulation in a side-by-side, below grade application following a continuous 15-year installation period.

When water and R-value retention were compared between EPS and XPS, the results demonstrated that EPS insulation outperformed XPS insulation with better R-value retention and a lower moisture absorption.

In 2013, an independent testing laboratory was again commissioned to evaluate the R-value and water absorption from XPS insulation samples extracted from several field locations and applications. A summary of the 2013 test results are shown in Figure 1 and reconfirm there is no correlation between the results from standardized laboratory test methods and actual field exposure for XPS water absorption. Further, the significant loss of R-value associated with XPS water absorption is shown.

- In-situ water absorption for XPS is widely variable ranging from 5-60% by volume.
- The maximum allowable water absorption of <0.3% and <0.7% for XPS as specified by ASTM C578 and CAN/ULC-S701 are not in the same range as the results after in-situ field exposure.
- R-value loss for XPS insulation is directly related to the percentage of water absorption by volume.

Studies show that as much as 25% of energy loss from a structure can be attributed to a lack of insulation. Insulation R-value is directly correlated to maximum energy efficiency in a building envelope; higher R-values translate into increased savings. It is important to understand that in-situ water absorption can diminish the thermal performance of building materials and designers must account for this when evaluating different insulation choices.
Laboratory Versus In-Situ Test Methodology

STANDARDIZED TEST METHODS

The most common laboratory test methods to evaluate moisture absorption call for partial or full submersion conditions that do not replicate exposure in field applications. Standardized laboratory submersion test methods are typically conducted with 1-inch thick samples that are submerged for 24 or 96 hours. These basic laboratory test methods were not developed for predicting in-situ performance, but are intended for use in specifications as a means of product quality control.

Building insulations are subjected to a wide range of conditions and must maintain their performance over extended periods of time. In this context the value of in-situ test data is crucial and more important than basic laboratory test methods.

IN-SITU XPS TEST METHODOLOGY

To investigate the in-situ performance of extruded polystyrene (XPS) insulation, an independent laboratory was commissioned to evaluate the field performance for various applications being used in different climates.

The XPS samples were excavated under the supervision of the independent laboratory to conduct R-value and water absorption measurements. Samples from four different locations and applications were selected for testing. Two specimens were evaluated from each location. The R-value retention and moisture absorption percent for the individual samples were analyzed.

Specimens were tested for thermal resistance using ASTM C518 “Standard Test Method for Steady-State Thermal Transmission Properties by Means of the Heat Flow Apparatus” immediately after excavation. Moisture content was determined by measuring the sample weight at the time of removal and again after being oven dried.

In-Situ XPS R-Value Retention Diminished When Exposed To Moisture

Standardized Laboratory Water Absorption Test Methods DO NOT Predict Long-Term R-value Performance
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BEST PRACTICES
It is important to recognize that the successful use of polystyrene foam insulation depends upon its correct installation using good building practice. In below grade applications the success of the overall system depends, to a large extent, upon provision for adequate drainage of water away from the foundation system. The following design considerations should be taken into account for below grade applications:

Direct Water Away From The Foundation
• Provide a slope at grade away from the foundation of at least 6" in 10 feet.
• Direct down spouts to drain at least 3 feet away from the building.
• Avoid landscaping that requires excessive watering in the vicinity of the foundation wall.

Ensure There Is Adequate Subgrade Drainage
• Wrap a geotextile filter fabric around the drain tile at the base of the foundation or place over the granular fill material over the drain tile.
• Ensure the drain tile has adequate slope to the outflow point.
• Use well-graded backfill or other appropriate drainage medium to ensure sufficient sub-grade drainage adjacent to the foundation wall.

Use Details To Minimize Leakage Potential
• Seal and flash top edge of exterior foundation insulation.
• Embed lower edge of exterior insulation layer at least 6" into perimeter stone over drain tile.
• Keep level of perimeter drain tile below the basement floor level.
• Seal tie rod holes and control joints.
• Place vapor barrier on inside face of wall (on the interior of the insulated wall).

CONCLUSION
The long-term application of XPS insulation below grade results in a higher water retention and greater loss of R-value than reported when using standardized laboratory test methods. Water absorption results for XPS using ASTM C272 cannot be correlated to the in-service performance of extruded polystyrene foam insulation.

Material specifications such as ASTM C578 and CAN/ULC-S701 cannot provide all of the answers that a designer may need. These specifications provide physical property requirements for the various EPS and XPS insulation types most commonly used. In many cases, end use applications require unique physical properties and manufacturers have products to meet these needs; designers should consult with manufacturers when a particular application requires specific material properties.
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